

To: Supreme Court

**To: U.S. Senator Catherine Cortez Masto
400 South Virginia Street, Suite 902
Reno, NV 89501**

October 18, 2022

From: Andrea Wood

My name is Andrea Wood and I am the mother of a teenage survivor of CPS child trafficking. After he was seized from my loving family he spent time in foster care in Contra Costa County where he witnessed and experienced child prostitution in a group home and lived in constant fear that he would be abused next. CPS child trafficking is a blight on American society, and we are hoping you can weigh in publicly to condemn CPS.

Children in Foster Care are 42 percent more likely to die than children left with their parents.

When children are being illegally taken and county social workers are regularly perjuring lies to hold on to these children, for government profit motives, this is corrupt and must end.

I would like to know specifically what you are doing to address human trafficking and the illegal seizures of children from their homes across the state and across this country from CPS agencies who are trespassing, breaking in, with no warrants and no exigent danger because they are receiving large federal funding annually for every child they are trafficking. Returning children back to their rightful biological parents ends their federal funding so the system is incentivized to illegally kidnap and to retain these children. Parents who fight for their children are being silenced and threatened with restraining orders and vexatious litigant labels.

<https://www.infowars.com/posts/save-the-babies-a-documentary-on-cps-child-trafficking/>

Consider this recent Supreme Court case: (EPA vs. West Virginia) regarding Agencies making an enforcing their own invalid ruled steeped in their for profit "interests":

WEST VIRGINIA VS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (USC 2022)

"In a 6-3 ruling on June 30, 2022, the court ruled that the regulation of existing power plants in section 7411(d) fell under the major questions doctrine, and within that, Congress did not grant the EPA authority to regulate emissions from existing plants based on generation shifting

mechanisms, which would have invalidated the Clean Power Plan.” Agencies can not make and enforce their own laws.

Holding, “**Congress did not grant the Environmental Protection Agency in Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act the authority** to devise emissions caps based upon the generationsgifting Approach the Agency took in the Clean Power plan.”

Agencies do not have the authority to create their own “presumed government interest”. Only Congress is to legislate laws, as the U.S. Supreme Court has stated.

However agencies full of power and their own financial interest have ignored Federal and Constitutional Laws similar to the West Virginia vs EPA, and have similarity as a National Agency are issuing their own rules and enforcing these on the Parents and Children of America to benefit the agencies who state they have their own interests which somehow supersede Constitutional Protections, in their arguments.

In West Virginia vs EPA (USC 2022), When Congress has not been “crystal-clear” about what legal power and authorities agencies have, these agencies are not permitted to make their own laws and interpretations.

CALIFORNIA STATE AUDITOR ISSUES A SCATHING 2022 REPORT ON THE ABUSES OF PARENTS AND FAMILIES SUFFERED IN FAMILY COURTS

<https://www.auditor.ca.gov/reports/2022-030/index.html>

report by Michael S. Tilden on April 14, 2022 on the dismal state of affairs in California Family Courts, full of corruption and wrongful child removals from loving solid parents as the courts are profit-oriented and are not following the law.

<https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/13-unprecedented-unethical-and-unlawful-violations-found-in-san-diego-family-courts-by-kidsmatter-301568614.html>

I urge all to read the horrors contained in these articles on California Family Courts by none other than the California State Auditor, who named these specific corruption matters:

1. Judges who have been recused backdating orders to before their recusal;
2. Judges permanently removing children from their parents based upon unproven or hearsay allegations;
3. Frivolous and fraudulent restraining orders based on false allegations;

4. Violations by Minors' counsels, with one even admitting the San Diego Family Law courts are corrupt;
5. Multiple and frequent ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) violations;
6. Suppression of exculpatory evidence (evidence that would tend to exonerate); Attorney sponsored blackmail;
7. Blocking older children from testifying despite there being specific Family Law codes allowing their testimony;
8. Numerous documented Child Protective Services and Family Court Services abuses, resulting in the removal of children from good parents;
9. Court sanctioned child abduction as punishment for litigants not agreeing with a judge's unconstitutional ruling
10. Frequent and institutionalized due process and civil rights violations of parents and their children;
11. Intentionally blocking public access to the courts or removing of courtroom observers without cause;
12. Conducting secret hearings without notice (euphemistically known as "Star Chambers") that result in the removal of children from their parents.

THE CALIFORNIA STATE GRAND JURY MADE AN EQUALLY DAMNING REPORT ON THE STATE OF THE FAMILY COURTS IN CALIFORNIA

ABUSE, CORRUPTION, COLLUSION ABOUND AS CITED BY THE GRAND JURY:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1X50n8c6S38gjEibtUSvhPYGD5Ar8Yp9X/view?usp=drivesdk>

Please read the reports of California's Grand Jury. Link above.

BREAKING INTO HOMES WITHOUT WARRANT AND NO EXIGENT DANGER

Children & Family Services ("CFS") and Children Protective Services ("CPS") have argued that these Agencies have a right to enter homes and steal children with no warrant and no exigent danger in (the case of #21-16183 now before the 9th Circuit court) **Wood and Packwood vs Contra Costa County et al (9th Circuit 2022)** that was exactly what happened. This is not Constitutional and the U.S. Supreme Court has already ruled against the Police and these Agencies taking this authority.

Violating the Fourth Amendment, "It is required by the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution that police officers either have a search warrant or subsequent permission to search a piece of property". In Contra Costa County, they had neither. No cause, no exigent danger, no permission, and no warrant.

***In Lange vs. California* (USC, 2021)** U.S. Supreme Court Justice Elena Kagen ruled that if “there was time to get a warrant” then a warrant must be obtained.

CALIFORNIA STATE CODE 81, 820.21, Allowed Perjury in the Court

The County of Contra Costa County then perjured themselves on multiple occasions in violation of **California Code 81 and 820.21 Law** which outlaws the perjury of Social Workers and removing their immunity when they have done so. This became established law in ***Hardwick vs Vreeken*** (9th Circuit of Appeals, 2020) stating that Wood turned in an unsigned Parenting class certificate which is not only signed but it is stamped by Contra Costa County Clerk as received they would not return the children which they are paid in Social Security Title IV (d)(e) funding six figures per year for keeping these children and receiving none of this money is given to the courts if the children are rightfully returned home.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS EXCEPTION

DOMESTIC RELATIONS EXEMPTION GRANTS FEDERAL JURISDICTION

The 9th Circuit has the Jurisdiction to return children to their, under the “Domestic Relations Exemption”, articulated in 1858 by the U.S. Supreme Court and applying to “federal question cases”. Thus “Endowing the federal court with jurisdiction” in these matters. It’s both proper and lawfully right to do so. The immense suffering and unimaginable “psychological warfare” that Parents have been subjected to by Contra Costa County.

Yale Law school on the “Federal Question”, “Domestic Relations Exemption” below:

<https://www.yalelawjournal.org/note/federal-questions-and-the-domestic-relations-exception>

FEDERAL QUESTION

1a. Anything or anyone taken in an illegal seizure is not the lawful property of anyone other than the owner or parent. **Nothing illegally seized can be used or produced in any court of law**, therefore there is NO valid case against Wood nor Packwood and the children who were unlawfully taken. Why have the children not yet been returned to their biological mother? Any alleged and or staged hearsay/evidence (including false perjured claims of social workers) which were taken or obtained in such illegal activities is Constitutionally not admissible.

In Hardwick vs Vreeken U.S. 494 (“the right to lie case”) already ruled upon by 9th Circuit, social workers can not commit perjury to take and or retain children. Yet this

exactly what has happened again here, in Wood and Packwood v.. Contra Costa County.
<https://onlinecles.com/orange-county-but-we-didnt-know-you-couldnt-lie-to-the-court/>

1b. Packwood and Wood ask how can Contra Costa County be permitted to illegally break-in twice (locked gate and front entrance), commit perjury through their social workers and county employees to “wrest biological children from their family. Breaking civil rights, property and privacy rights, Due process completely ignored, the suborned perjury by County force of Wood’s children, excessive psychotropic drugging of the Packwood children, denying visitation, allowing social workers to testify behind closed doors that Wood was not permitted to attend and Wood’s lawyer request for a “read back” to Wood was also not permitted, blocking Woods attempts to admit beneficial evidence. ignoring Juvenile Dependency and Welfare And Institutions’ state mandated attempts ignored to reunify the Wood-Packwood Family - as was requested by The County’s own recommended (decades long professional relationship) calls for “family reunification” by Therapist Dr. Marc DeManes, as well as violating Federal Rule 26(a) denying Wood her mandated discovery, falsely imprisoning and falsely arresting and imprisoning Packwood, forcibly removing Him from his safe grandmother’s care - with no (mandated) warrant and no (immediate nor) exigent danger? Grandmother presented no risk nor harm.

1c. The practice of stealing (in violation of Constitutional Laws and Protections) American children, in return for federal funds, and force adopting them, has no historical powers from the time of the American Revolution and under the Common Law. Blacks Law 5th Edition definition states this practice has no historical basis in Common Law. This is a barbaric practice involving breaking into houses (without legal cause, and no warrants) to steal and sell children. Where is the Agency’s authority for this unlawful practice?

The scourge of child trafficking must be stopped in America. Government-sponsored kidnapping has no place in a decent society. I urge you to make a statement and take action on this matter, because survivors nationwide are coming together to make our voices heard. Choose to be on the right side of history. You will hear more from us.

Amen

Andrea Wood
10/18/2022

